

## The Kato-Rellich Theorem

**Problem.** Let  $A : D(A) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and  $B : D(B) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be two linear operators densely defined. Suppose that  $A^* = A$  and  $B \subseteq B^*$  such that  $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$ .

In particular, it makes sense to consider  $A + B : D(A) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ . Moreover, it was proved that

$$A + B \subseteq A^* + B^* \subseteq (A + B)^*.$$

The question that arises is under what conditions it holds that

$$(A + B)^* = A + B.$$

That problem appears for instance in Quantum Mechanics. The initial value problem (IVP) for the Schrödinger equation is written as

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = -\Delta u + \underbrace{Vu}_{\text{multiplication by a real potential}} = \underbrace{(H_0 + V)}_{\text{symmetric operator}} u, \\ u(0) = \phi \in H^2. \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

If the operator were self-adjoint we should show by means of the Spectral Theorem that the IVP (0.1) is well-posed, or in other words, the operator  $H_0 + V$  generates a unitary group. In addition, we could obtain information on the spectrum of  $H_0 + V$ .

In order to do this we need of the following notion.

**Definition 1.** Let  $A : D(A) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and  $B : D(B) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be two linear operators. We say that  $B$  is **bounded in relation to  $A$**  (or  $B$  is  **$A$ -bounded**) if

(i)  $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$ ,

(ii) There exist  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$\|B\phi\| \leq \alpha \|\phi\| + \beta \|A\phi\|, \quad \forall \phi \in D(A).$$

The number

$$\beta_0 = \inf\{\beta > 0 : \text{(ii) holds}\}$$

is called the  **$A$ -bound** related to  $B$ .

**Exercise 1.** *If  $A$  is a closed linear operator and  $B$  is a  $A$ -bounded linear operator, show that*

(a)  $\mathcal{H}_0 = (D(A), [\cdot, \cdot])$  *is a Hilbert space with inner product*

$$[\phi, \psi] = (\phi, \psi) + (A\phi, A\psi).$$

(b)  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $A = H_0$  and

$$B : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
$$\phi \mapsto \frac{1}{i}\phi',$$

then  $B$  is  $A$ -bounded with  $A$ -bound equals zero.

Indeed,  $D(A) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = D(B)$  implies (i) in Definition (1).

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $|\xi| \leq \epsilon|\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon}$ .

Using the Plancherel identity we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|B\phi\|^2 &= \|\widehat{B\phi}\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi\phi(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\epsilon|\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon}\right)^2 |\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq c\epsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + c(\epsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|B\phi\| \leq c\epsilon\|A\phi\| + c(\epsilon)\|\phi\|, \quad \forall \phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since this holds for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we deduce that  $B$  is  $A$ -bounded and the  $A$ -bound of  $B$  is equal to zero.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be closed linear operators. Suppose that  $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$  and  $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Then (ii) in Definition 1 holds.*

*Proof.* Take  $z \in \rho(A)$ , then

$$B(A - z)^{-1} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

is closed. By the Closed Graph Theorem we get  $B(A - z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .  
Next, for all  $\phi \in D(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|B\phi\| &= \|B(A - z)^{-1}(A - z)\phi\| \\ &\leq \|B(A - z)^{-1}\| \|(A - z)\phi\| \\ &\leq c\|A\phi\| + c|z|\|\phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 2.** *If  $B$  is a closed linear operator,  $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$ , prove that the following statements are equivalent*

- 1.  $B$  is  $A$ -bounded.*
- 2.  $B(A - z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for some  $z \in \rho(A)$ .*
- 3.  $B(A - z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for all  $z \in \rho(A)$ .*



**Definition 2.** Let  $A$  be a linear operator such that  $A \subseteq A^*$ . We say that  $A$  is a **positive** operator if and only if

$$(A\phi, \phi) \geq 0 \quad \forall \phi \in D(A).$$

(i.e. a bilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} b_* : D(A) \times D(A) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \phi &\mapsto (A\phi, \phi) \end{aligned}$$

is positive.)

$A$  is **strictly positive** if and only if

$$(A\phi, \phi) > 0 \quad \forall \phi \in D(A).$$

### Remarks 3.

(i)  $A \subseteq A^*$  implies that

$$(A\phi, \phi) = (\phi, A\phi) = \overline{(A\phi, \phi)} \quad \forall \phi \in D(A)$$

and so  $(A\phi, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) We can define an order relation on positive symmetric operators as:  $A \geq B$  if and only if  $A - B \geq 0$ .

**Definition 3.** *If there exists  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $A \geq \lambda_0$ , we say that  $A$  is **lower** bounded.*

**Exercise 4.** *Let  $A : D(A) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $A = A^*$  and  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $A \geq M$  if and only if  $(-\infty, M) \subset \rho(A)$ .*

From this we can see that a self-adjoint operator is lower bounded if and only if its spectrum is bounded below.

**Theorem 5 (Kato-Rellich Theorem).** *Let  $A : D(A) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be a linear self-adjoint operator and let  $B : D(B) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be a linear symmetric operator. Suppose that  $B$  is  $A$ -bounded with lower bound  $\beta < 1$ . Then*

$$A + B : D(A) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

*is a self-adjoint operator.*

*In addition, if there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $A \geq M$ , then there exists  $\widetilde{M} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A + B \geq \widetilde{M}$ .*

*Proof.* We divide the proof in two parts.



**First Part.** We use the basic criteria for self-adjointness. We have to prove that there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$R(A + B \pm i\lambda) = \mathcal{H}.$$

We observe first that  $A = A^*$  implies that  $i\lambda \in \rho(A)$ , for all  $\lambda > 0$ .

Next we write

$$A + B \pm i\lambda = (I + B(A \pm i\lambda)^{-1})(A \pm i\lambda).$$

Then it is sufficient to show that  $I + B(A \pm i\lambda)^{-1}$  is surjective. To prove this it is enough to show that there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\|B(A \pm i\lambda)^{-1}\| < 1$$

employing Neumann series.

Let  $\phi \in D(A)$ . By the hypotheses  $B$  is  $A$ -bounded with  $A$ -bound  $\beta_0 < 1$ , there exist  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\|B\phi\| \leq \alpha \|\phi\| + \beta \|A\phi\|. \quad (0.2)$$

Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ , since  $(A \pm i\lambda)$  is surjective there exists  $\phi \in D(A)$  such that  $(A \pm i\lambda)\phi = \psi$ . This implies that

$$\|B(A \pm i\lambda)^{-1}\psi\| = \|B\phi\| \leq \alpha\|\phi\| + \beta \|A\phi\|. \quad (0.3)$$

In addition,

$$\|\psi\|^2 = \|(A \pm i\lambda)\phi\|^2 = ((A \pm i\lambda)\phi, (A \pm i\lambda)\phi) = \|A\phi\|^2 + \lambda^2\|\phi\|^2.$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{cases} \|A\phi\| \leq \|\psi\|, \\ \|\phi\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|\psi\| \end{cases} \quad (0.4)$$

Combining (0.4) with (0.3) we obtain

$$\|B(A \pm i\lambda)^{-1}\psi\| \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda} + \beta\right) \|\psi\| < \|\psi\|$$

whenever  $\lambda$  is chosen sufficiently large.

**Second Part.** We know that  $A = A^*$  and  $(A + B)^* = A + B$ . From the Exercise 4,  $A \geq M$  yields that  $(-\infty, M) \subset \rho(A)$ . We would like to find  $\widetilde{M}$  so that  $(-\infty, \widetilde{M}) \subset \rho(A + B)$ . (exercise!).

**Remark 1.** *It is not possible to improve the condition  $\beta_0 < 1$ .*

*For instance, if we choose  $B = -A$  then  $\beta_0 = 1$ , where  $A$  is an unbounded operator. Indeed, we have  $A + B : D(A) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ,  $A + B = 0$  but  $0_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is symmetric which implies that  $A + B$  is not maximal symmetric and thus  $A + B$  is not a self-adjoint operator.*



**Definition 4.**  $B$  is called *relatively compact* to  $A$ , or  $B$  is  *$A$ -compact*, if there exists  $z \in \rho(A)$  such that

$$B(A - z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) = \{L \in \mathcal{B} : L \text{ is a compact operator}\}$$

**Remark 2.** We already saw that if  $B$  is  $A$ -compact, then  $B$  is  $A$ -bounded.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $A = A^*$  and let  $B$  be an  $A$ -compact operator. Then  $B$  is  $A$ -bounded operator with  $A$ -bound equals zero.*

**Definition 5.** *Let  $A = A^*$  be a linear operator. The **discrete spectrum** of  $A$  is the set in  $\mathbb{C}$  given by*

$$\sigma_d(A) = \{\lambda \in V_p(A) : \lambda \text{ is isolated with finite multiplicity}\}.$$

*The **essential spectrum** of  $A$  is the set in  $\mathbb{C}$  given by  $\sigma_e(A) = \sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_d(A)$ .*

**Theorem 7** (Theorem of Kato-Rellich (II)). *Let  $A = A^*$  and  $B \subseteq B^*$  such that  $B$  is  $A$ -compact. Then  $A + B$  is a self-adjoint operator and  $\sigma_e(A + B) = \sigma_e(A)$ .*

## Application

A quantum particle of mass  $m$  interacting with a potential (real measurable)  $V$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is described by the the Schrödinger equation,

$$i\hbar \partial_t u = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta u + V(x)u \quad (0.5)$$

where  $\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}$  is the Plank constant and  $u(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The quantity  $|u(x, t)|^2$  is a probability density of distribution of the particle at instant  $t$ . That is, if  $u(x, 0) = \phi(x)$  and  $S$  is a measurable set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then

$$P(S) = \frac{1}{\|\phi\|^2} \int_S |u(x, t)|^2 dx$$

is the probability to find a particle in the set  $S$ .

**Exercise 8.** *Verify that  $\|u(\cdot, t)\| = \|\phi\|$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  holds.*

We can normalize the equation (0.5) and write it in the equivalent form

$$i\partial_t u = Hu$$

where  $H = H_0 + V$ .  $H$  is called the Hamiltonian and  $H_0$  is called the free Hamiltonian.

We will show that  $H = H^*$ .

**Remark 3.** Notice that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 = (\partial_t u, u) + (u, \partial_t u)$$

then

$$-i(u, \partial_t u) = (u, i\partial_t u) = (u, Hu) = (Hu, u)$$

which implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 = i(Hu, u) - i(u, Hu) = 0.$$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable, if  $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . (i.e. there exist  $V_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $V_2 \in L^\infty$  with  $V = V_1 + V_2$ ), then  $V$  is  $H_0$ -compact.

Above we use the notation

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) : \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M > 0, \\ \text{such that } |f(x)| \leq \epsilon \text{ a.e. } |x| \geq M\}.$$

*Proof.* We shall show that there exists  $z \in \rho(H_0) = \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$  such that  $V(H_0 - z)^{-1}$  is compact.

From Exercise 9 if  $R_0(z) = (H_0 - z)^{-1}$  then

$$R_0(z)f = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x|}}{|x|} * f, \quad \text{for } n = 3 \text{ where } \text{Im}\sqrt{z} > 0.$$

Hence

$$V(H_0 - z)^{-1}f(x) = V(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|} f(y) dy.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} V(H_0 - z)^{-1}f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \underbrace{V_1(x) \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}}_{K_1(x,y)} f(y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \underbrace{V_2(X) \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}}_{K_2(x,y)} f(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$V(H_0 - z)^{-1}f = T_{K_1}f + T_{K_2}f.$$

Next we consider  $T_{K_1}$ .

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\|K_1\|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_1(x)|^2 \frac{e^{-2\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|^2} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_1(x)|^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-2\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{z}|\tilde{y}|}}{|\tilde{y}|^2} d\tilde{y} \right) dx \\ &= \|V_1\|^2 \omega(S^2) \int_0^\infty e^{-2\operatorname{Im}\sqrt{z}r} dr \\ &\leq c \|V_1\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

where we used the change of variable  $\tilde{y} = x - y$  and after applying Fubini's theorem we employed polar coordinates.

We conclude that  $K_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Thus  $T_{K_1}$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Therefore it is a compact operator.



Now we study  $T_{K_2}$ .

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $M_\epsilon > 0$  such that  $|V_2(x)| \leq \epsilon$  a.e. for  $|x| > M_\epsilon$ .

Define

$$V_2^\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} V_2(x), & \text{if } |x| \leq M_\epsilon \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| \geq M_\epsilon. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $V_2^\epsilon(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then  $T_{K_2}^\epsilon = V_2^\epsilon(H_0 - z)^{-1}$  is a compact operator by using the same analysis for  $T_{K_1}$ .

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{K_2}f - T_{K_2}^\epsilon f\| &= \|(V_2 - V_2^\epsilon)(H_0 - z)^{-1}f\| \\ &\leq \|V_2 - V_2^\epsilon\| \|(H_0 - z)^{-1}f\| \\ &\leq \|V_2 - V_2^\epsilon\| \|(H_0 - z)^{-1}\| \|f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_{K_2}f - T_{K_2}^\epsilon\| &\leq \|V_2 - V_2^\epsilon\| \|(H_0 - z)^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \epsilon \|(H_0 - z)^{-1}\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T_{K_2}$  is also compact (since  $\mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$  is closed). Therefore  $V$  is  $H_0$ -compact.

Using the Theorem of Kato-Rellich II we deduce then that  $H = H^*$ .

**Example 2.** *The Coulomb potential  $V(x) = \frac{\alpha}{|x|}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  belongs to the class in Proposition 2.*

**Exercise 9.** Let  $H_0 = -\Delta : H^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the free Hamiltonian and let  $z \in \rho(H_0) = \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, +\infty)$ .

(i) Prove that

$$R_0(z)g := (H_0 - z)^{-1}f = \mathcal{R}_z * g, \quad \forall g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_z = ((|\xi|^2 - z)^{-1})^\vee$ . Check that  $R_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ .

(ii) In case  $n = 1$ , prove that

$$\mathcal{R}_z(x) = \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x|}}{2\sqrt{z}}, \quad \text{where } \operatorname{Im}\sqrt{z} > 0.$$

*Hint: Use the Residue Theorem.*

(iii) If  $z = \lambda + i\eta$  with  $\lambda \geq 0$ , prove that  $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} R_0(\lambda + i\eta)$  does not exist in  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ .

# References

- [1] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag (1995).

